



Step one – initial allocation – based on four distinct funding pools**Start Here!****State Funding Pools****Withholding**

The four state funding pools add up to an initial allocation of \$77,537,297. But the state only appropriated \$69,872,721 to counties for Mental Health Allowed Growth. We need a mechanism to get from the initial allocation to the final allocation – that mechanism is called the “withhold factor.” This year the withhold factor is \$7,664,576, the difference between the initial allocation and final allocation. The withhold factor only affects counties that are levying at least 90% in the current year and have fund balances between 10% and 25% one year prior to the allocation. See how it works in step two.

But first, the obvious question: Why doesn't the state just allocate enough money to each of the funding pools to match the appropriation? That would eliminate the withhold factor and the entire step two of this process. In fact, we'd be done right now if the state did that. But as you notice, the criteria for receiving funding from the pools are not the same. By “over-allocating” money to one pool or another and then using the withhold factor, the state can reward – or penalize – counties for exhibiting certain behavior. For instance, when the state “over-allocates” money to the per capita fund, it rewards counties levying 100%. So who gets penalized when those counties get rewarded? The counties levying between 90% and 100% with a fund balance between 10% and 25%. Their penalty comes in the form of a withhold factor, which reduces their final allocation. The withholding process is really just a rather complicated tool that the Legislature uses to make policy decisions.

Step two – final allocation – only four options – necessary because of the withhold factor**A note about withholding – “The Ledge”**

There is one final twist to the mental health allowed growth funding allocation: the ledge. The ledge only affects certain counties in group 4 above (those that are levying at least 90% and have fund balances between 10% and 15%) and is best explained with an example. Let's say County 'A' levies 100% and has a 9% fund balance; the county would fall in group 3 and receive its initial allocation plus the 2% inflation factor. Now let's say County 'B' levies 100% and has an 11% fund balance; it would fall in group 4 and receive only its initial allocation multiplied by the withhold factor. Even though County 'B' is only 1 percentage point above the 10% fund balance limit, it could potentially “lose” tens or even hundreds of thousands of dollars. The lost money is the amount of a county's initial allocation that it loses because of the withhold factor. Let's say that County 'B' has expenses of \$500,000, a fund balance of \$55,000 and an initial allocation of \$150,000, and the withhold factor is 50%. The result is that County 'B,' which is over the 10% fund balance level for group 3 by only \$5,000, loses \$75,000 in state funding by the move to group 4. The ledge is designed to prevent that situation.

The ledge says that a county in group 4 with a fund balance between 10% and 15% can only lose an amount of money equal to the amount by which its fund balance exceeds 10%. (In FY 2010 there is no ledge protection for group 4 counties with fund balances between 15% and 25%.) In our example above, County 'B' could only lose \$5,000 – not \$75,000. The difference between the county's allowed loss and its loss due to the withhold factor (\$70,000 in our example) is “added back” (the ledge is also called the “add-back”) in to get the county's final allocation. But remember, it's all coming out of the same state appropriation. So when one county gets some funding “added back” due to the ledge, that changes the withhold factor for every other county in group 4. So after we go through the process outlined in step two above and get to the final allocation for group 4, that might not be the final allocation. We need to check to see if the ledge applies to any counties. If it does, we need to give those counties extra money (their add-back funding) and then re-calculate the withhold factor for all the remaining counties. Then we need to check again to see if the new withhold factor subjects any other counties to the ledge, and if so give them their money and re-calculate the withhold for the remaining counties. This goes on and on until no more counties are subject to the ledge. Then, finally – mercifully – the allocation process is over. Until next year.

Withhold Factor Calculation – Sample

Available Money
Combined initial allocation = Withhold Factor
(group 4 counties only)

$\frac{\$2,000,000}{\$4,000,000} = 50\% \text{ Withhold Factor}$

Calculation for Individual Counties
Initial Allocation * Withhold Factor = Final Allocation

$\$150,000 * 50\% = \$75,000$

Note: Starting in FY 2010, any allocation factor using fund balance will be based on the fund balance two years prior to the year of distribution. Additionally, starting in FY 2010, a county will be required to levy at least 90% of its maximum mental health levy in order to be eligible for any allowed growth funding. Any county levying less than 90% in FY 2010 will go in group 1 (above) and receive zero dollars.